



Subject: T26-12 New Kedron East Catholic Elementary School
Addendum No 2

Closing Date: Tuesday, October 6, 2026 2:00 PM

Addendum Date: Monday, September 21, 2026

Issued by: Durham Catholic District School Board

This addendum will form a part of the bid documents for the above noted Bid and shall be read in conjunction herewith. This addendum will take precedence over all requirements of the original bid documents and any addenda issued previously.

Bidders shall acknowledge receipt of this addendum with their electronic bid submission on the declaration page in the bidding system.

Question 1:

sections below missing from specification of tender

10 22 26 Operable Partitions

11 68 23 Exterior Athletic

please provide sections if required for pricing purposes

regards

Answer 1:

a) – Section 10 22 26 is not missing. Bidder is asked to review.

b) – Section 11 68 23. Refer to Landscape Addenda No. 1

Question 2:

Spec section 06 41 13 Architectural wood casework, item 2.4 mentions maple veneer casework with solid wood edging. Architectural details drawing D404 shows the cabinets are plam with plam edging. There is also a note on this drawing that says “refer to specifications for materials and finishes. Please clarify if all wood casework on this project will be Maple veneer with solid maple edging.

Answer 2: Refer to Architectural Addendum No. 1

Question 3:

Index to Project Manual shows Division 27 Computer however there is no Division 27 in Volume 3 Book (M & E Specifications) Please provide.

Answer 3: Delete reference to Division 27 in the Index to Project Manual

Question 4:

DIV 21 00 10 Specs refer to Alarm Check Valves, however the Drawing shows Riser Manifolds. Please confirm if Riser Manifolds can be used or not ?

Answer 4: Riser manifolds are an acceptable alternate

Question 5:

Do you accept ALWIND as an alternative window manufacturer?

Answer 5: Equivalent products by ALWIND will be accepted.

Question 6:

Do you accept ALWIND as an alternative window manufacturer?

Answer 6: Refer to Answer No. 5 and Architectural Addendum No. 1

Question 7:

Please confirm if the SOG mesh is applicable only to the locations listed in the schedule below, or to the overall SOG area.

The architectural drawings do not clearly show the slab thickness for S-3 locations.

Some of the S-2 locations are also given as 100mm thick.
refer to drawing S2.1.1 - First Floor Concrete Slab Schedule

Answer 7: Provide mesh throughout SOG. Slab thicknesses are 125mm. Slabs shall be thicker at slab thickening locations.

Question 8:

We would like to submit the following substitute (MAR) for review and approval in accordance with Specification Section 09 65 66, Clause 2.1.2
Proposed Material: Mondo Vinyl – 7mm thickness

Answer 8: ~~Yes MONDO may submit as ALTERNATIVE PRICE No. 10. Refer to Architectural Addendum no. 1.~~ Equivalent product by Mondo is acceptable.

Question 9:

Please confirm whether the PA and Security systems are required to be quoted by the prequalified Structured Cabling Contractor, or if we may obtain pricing from other qualified vendors and include these items in our electrical proposal?

Answer 9: The PA and Security Systems are to be supplied and installed as per the Specifications. The Structured Cabling Contractor may install the cabling for the PA and Security Systems which are supplied and installed by the specified PA and Security System sub contractors.

Question 10:

Good morning,

We have a question regarding the PA and Security systems. We have reached out to the prequalified Structured Cabling Contractor, and they confirmed that

they are able to provide pricing for the cabling.

However, they are unsure whether their prequalification allows them to quote the PA and Security systems.

Could you please confirm whether the PA and Security systems are required to be quoted by the prequalified Structured Cabling Contractor, or if we may obtain pricing from other qualified vendors and include these items in our electrical proposal?

Answer 10: Refer to Answer 9

Question 11:

We are in receipt of a question from an electrical contractor regarding the tender requirements for the PA and Security systems.

The contractor notes that the tender documents require all structured cabling, including PA system, security and data network work, to be carried out by a Board pre-qualified Structured Cabling Contractor. The contractor has contacted a pre-qualified Structured Cabling Contractor who has confirmed that they can provide pricing for the cabling, but is uncertain whether the Board prequalification also requires that contractor to provide the complete PA and Security systems.

Please confirm whether the complete PA and Security systems, including associated equipment and installation, must be supplied and installed by a Board pre-qualified Structured Cabling Contractor, or whether the electrical contractor may obtain pricing for those systems from other qualified vendors, with the structured cabling portion remaining with a Board pre-qualified Structured Cabling Contractor.

The listed Board pre-qualified Structured Cabling Contractors are required to bid this work through the electrical trades for proper coordination of the electrical, PA, Security and structured cabling scopes. Accordingly, these pre-qualified contractors should be notified and invited to submit their pricing through the electrical subcontractors bidding the project.

Answer 11:

Prequalified Structured Cabling Contractor is to install the cables for PA, Security and IT related work.

1. PA system equipment will be supplied and installed by Boxlight/ Compugen
2. Security System equipment will be supplied and installed by 360 Security System, a.p.i. Alarm Inc., Protech Integrated Security Solution.
Add Techsys as an additional qualified Security System Supplier

Refer also to Answer 9.

Question 12:

We are in receipt of a question from a paving subcontractor regarding the 3.0 m wide asphalt multi-use pathways shown on the site drawings.

Please confirm that, with the exception of the 3.0 m wide asphalt multi-use pathways at the two site entrances, all other 3.0 m wide asphalt multi-use pathways are to be installed by the Developer, and not by the General Contractor under this Contract.

We are also in receipt of a question regarding Alternative Price No. 8.

Please confirm whether Alternative Price No. 8 is intended to apply to all asphalt-paved play areas, including the asphalt pathways leading to the armour stone seating areas and the asphalt apron at the Special Education play area, or only to the Kindergarten Play Area.

The paving subcontractor notes that the Kindergarten Play Area shown on the tender drawings is identified as rubber safety tiles with flush concrete edge, rather than heavy-duty asphalt paving. Please clarify the exact limits of asphalt paving to which Alternative Price No. 8 is to apply.

Answer 12:

- i) The 3.0 metre wide multi-use asphalt pathways in the Municipal R.O.W.'s will be installed by the Subdivision Developer. Modifications to the multi-use asphalt pathways are the responsibility of the Bidder.

ii) The drawings show HD asphalt and rubber safety tiles in the Kindergarten Play Area. Alternate Price No. 8 refers to the HD asphalt area ONLY

Question 13:

There is no mention to prequalified list for M & E. Please confirm the Board does not have prequalified contractors for Mechanical & Electrical Work.

Answer 13:

There are no prequalified M/E Contractors. Refer to the tender documents for the list of prequalified Architectural / Mechanical and Electrical subcontractors.

Question 14:

Addendum No.1 makes note of start date. Can you please confirm Substantial Completion date and Final Completion date?

Answer 14:

See Appendix B, Article A-1.

Question 15:

This project calls for Morin products. Can you please propose Canadian products (VicWest or Agway) to be acceptable or equal to the Morin products?

Answer 15: VicWest and Agway are acceptable products. Refer to Architectural Addendum No. 1

Question 16:

Please confirm the approved contractors for the security scope, as three different lists are provided:

- a) Electrical Supplementary Bid Form: Connex, Paladin, and Spectrum.
- b) Section 26 07 42 – Security System Installer: Barrie, Underwriters, and Detson.
- c) Addendum #1 – Structured Cabling: Connex, Bosch (Paladin), and Core Cabling (Spectrum). The Instructions for Bidders include security cabling under this scope.

Which list should we use for pricing? Please clarify whether separate contractors are required for security cabling and security system installation.

Answer 16:

- a) Refer to Section 26 07 42 for list
- b) Refer to Section 26 07 42 for list
- c) Reference Addendum #1 - Connex, Bosch (formerly Paladin), and Core Cabling (formerly Spectrum). Refer to Electrical Addendum No. 1

Question 17:

We will be pricing the New Kedron East CES and I'd like to propose an alternate for the Toilet Partitions. Hadrian Powder Coated Metal meets the specifications, is manufactured in Canada, and the specified manufacturers Scranton and EAD don't make a Powder Coated line.

Let me know if Hadrian is acceptable as an alternate. I've attached the project specs and Hadrian's specifications for reference. www.cwp-inc.com

Answer 17: Hadrian Powder Coated is acceptable. Refer to Architectural Addendum No. 1

Question 18:

The proposed grading plan does not show the existing grades (nor existing contours). Do you know where the existing grades can be found?
Please provide existing grade drawing.

Answer 18:

Refer to Survey provided in Supplementary Information Volume 4. The existing contours have been updated based on the most recent topographic survey by IBW (August 2026). Please note the site has been pregraded as part of the adjacent subdivision works (see Answer 19). Refer to Site Services / Grading Addendum No. 1

Question 19:

Civil drawing SG indicates in the legend a symbol for existing ground grade. However, it does not seem to provide any of these existing grade points or contours throughout the drawing. Please provide existing grade points or contours as this is a key component for providing accurate sitework pricing.

Answer 19:

The site has been pregraded, and a topographic survey documenting the current site conditions has been provided in VOLUME 4 Supplementary Information in PDF format (IBW, August 27, 2026). The site has generally been pregraded to approximately 0.4 m below the proposed finished grades.

The available pregrade capacity has not been confirmed as sufficient to accommodate all anticipated surplus materials, including sewer excavation surplus, road and parking pavement structure, building pad sub-base, footing/foundation excavation, and other site servicing and construction requirements.

The Contractor shall review the provided as-built topographic survey and proposed design grades and shall be responsible for determining all grading, cut/fill, import and export requirements necessary to complete the Works. All associated costs shall be accounted for in the Contractor's bid submission.

Question 20:

While preparing our quote, the supplier for the rubber play surfacing tiles asked about the required fall height for this area. Could you please find out what the architects have specified for the fall height? The drawings currently specify 50mm thick tiles, but the manufacturer wants confirmation.

Answer 20: Refer to Specification Section 32 18 16 Article 2.2.1.10

Question 21:

Section 31 23 00 states that footings are to be excavated to native undisturbed soil, while the geotechnical report and engineered-fill certification specifically state that the proposed building may be supported on the existing certified engineered fill. Please confirm that the certified engineered fill within the approved envelope is acceptable founding material and that excavation to native soil is not required.

Answer 21: Certified engineered fill within the approved envelope is acceptable. See also Answer 22.

Question 22:

Please confirm that existing certified engineered fill beneath the building, exterior slabs and paved areas that is competent and at suitable elevation is to remain in place, subject to proof rolling/compaction, and is not intended to be removed and replaced solely because Section 31 23 00 requires newly placed fill in these areas to be imported. The specification itself directs existing soil at slabs and paving to be compacted rather than universally removed.

Answer 22: Certified engineered fill within the approved envelope is acceptable, however, weathering, erosion, and construction traffic will impact the upper layers. Fill shall be removed and replaced with imported materials where impacted by weathering, erosion and construction traffic.

Question 23:

Section 31 23 00 states that all newly placed fill below building and paved areas must be imported and that on-site materials may not be used as fill within the building area, while the geotechnical report permits selected excavated site material to be reused. Please confirm that excavated certified engineered fill cannot be reused as fill within the building or paved areas and must instead be exported or reused only in permitted landscape areas.

Answer 23: Excavated certified engineered fill cannot be reused as fill within the building or paved areas and must instead be exported or reused only in permitted landscape areas

Question 24:

The supplementary reports identify an existing topsoil stockpile at the northeast portion of the site. Please confirm whether this stockpile is to be retained and reused for the project, and whether any surplus is to be removed from site by the Contractor.

Answer 24: The topsoil stockpile may be reused provided it is modified as per the instructions in Landscape Addendum No. 1 and the Soil Fertility Test provided in Architectural Addendum No. 1

Question 25:

The hydrogeological report predicts approximately 0.0 m³/day groundwater discharge for typical strip-footing excavation and concludes that no PTTW application is required, while Section 31 23 00 requires the Contractor to allow for dewatering, including well points if site conditions require. Please confirm that the tender basis is conventional temporary pumping for precipitation/local seepage only, with no PTTW/EASR system anticipated.

Answer 25: Conventional temporary pumping for precipitation / local seepage only is expected.

Question 26:

We would like to request Funktion VSport 350 as supplied by Caliber Sport Systems for Section 09 65 16 - Resilient Sheet Flooring - Part 2.1.1

--

We would like to request Funktion VSport 910 as supplied by Caliber Sport Systems for Section 09 65 66 - Sports Flooring - Part 2.1.2
Can provide supporting documents, please advise where this can be uploaded.

Answer 26: Funktion VSport 910 is **not** considered an equivalent product.

Question 27:

The specification (Section 32 17 23) you provided says "Play area pavement markings to include three half basketball courts, one full basketball court and two 4-square games (location to be confirmed)". Can you have the Consultant provide a drawing/detail (with dimensions) showing where the three half basketball courts, one full basketball court and two 4-square games are to be installed for pricing purposes as nothing is shown on the drawing you provided?

Answer 27: Refer to Drawing 2/L3.2 for half basketball court dimensions. Refer to Architectural Addendum No. 1 for remaining items.

Question 28:

We will be pricing the New Kedron East CES and I'd like to propose an alternate for the Toilet Partitions. Hadrian Powder Coated Metal meets the specifications, is manufactured in Canada, and the specified manufacturers Scranton and EAD don't make a Powder Coated line.

Let me know if Hadrian is acceptable as an alternate. Please advise if you require product specifications for reference

Answer 28: see Answer 17

Question 29:

The grading plan does not provide existing grades and we are unable to estimate earthwork / grading / cut & fill / etc.

Answer 29: see Answers 18 and 19

Question 30:

Site Grading Plan SG – East Property Line / Red Delineated Area / Existing Grades

Refer to Site Grading Plan SG and Architectural Site Plan A1.1, east side of the site adjacent to Block 25 (Open Space).

Site Grading Plan SG shows three prominent red lines extending beyond the east property line, identified as the 15.0m Floodline Buffer, 6.0m Access Allowance and Regulatory Floodline. Architectural Drawing A1.1 similarly identifies the Regulatory Floodline, 6m Access Allowance and 15m Setback from Regulatory Floodline in the area east of the property line.

Please clarify the purpose of the red delineation on Drawing SG and confirm whether any grading, excavation, fill, restoration or other work is required by the

Contractor east of the property line within Block 25 (Open Space). If work is required outside the property line, please clearly identify the limits and scope of such work to be included in the Base Bid.

In addition, Drawing SG does not appear to provide sufficient existing ground elevations/contours throughout the required limits of grading to permit bidders to determine existing-to-proposed grade differentials and calculate cut and fill quantities.

Please identify and/or provide the existing site grading/topographic information applicable to the tender, including existing elevations/contours throughout all required limits of work, sufficient for bidders to calculate cut and fill quantities and accurately price the earthworks.

Answer 30:

- i) There is no work beyond the east property line.
- ii) Refer to Survey provided in Supplementary Information Volume 4

Question 31:

Sol Shades request to be considered equivalent for window covering stating below:

Solarfective doesn't exist anymore. We can meet the shade spec and the fabric spec. and are partnered with Somfy for all motors and motorized components
Please indicate where we can forward specs/product info, in order to be considered.

Answer 31: Sol Shades may be considered as an equivalent product.

Question 32:

Please clarify which type of data cable is required (cat 6 or cat6a), the specification sheet shows both.

Answer 32: Refer to Section 26 70 44, Article 2.1.17 for Cat6 and Cat6A requirements

Question 33:

Please clarify if the wireless access points require 1 or 2 data drops.

Answer 33: Refer to drawings for quantities.

Question 34:

Please confirm if Cat 6a is required for the wireless access point data drop.

Answer 34: Refer to Answer 32

Question 35:

Please clarify if there are any other IT rack elevations other than E13.

Answer 35: Additional details will be provided to successful bidder by School Board IT

Question 36:

Please provide more details regarding the rack requirements & PDU.

Answer 36: Refer to Answer 35

Question 37:

Please confirm the type of cable that is required for the Fax / Telephone. Will it be Cat6 or Cat3?

Answer 37: Refer to Section 26 07 44 for Voice and Fax Cabling requirements.

Question 38:

Please confirm there is no need for fiber optic backbone.

Answer 38: Refer to Section 26 07 44, Article 2.1.1 for requirements.

Question 39:

Please clarify who is responsible for providing the fiber patch panel on drawing E13.

Answer 39: Refer to Section 26 70 44, Article 2.1.18 for Fiber Optic Patch Panel requirements.

[End of Addendum No 2]